

# Synthetic Demo Report

DEMO WORKSPACE

## Low Evidence / Low Confidence Assessment

VMware -> Proxmox Migration Readiness sample. Generated from synthetic data only.

READINESS SCORE

**67/100**

Migration posture

EVIDENCE CONFIDENCE

**34/100**

Evidence strength

SCOPE

**27 VMs**

3 hosts / 4 datastores

### SYNTHETIC SCOPE

A deliberately sparse scenario showing the difference between readiness and confidence. Primary risk: Evidence too limited. This report is not based on a real company or real infrastructure.

# Executive Summary

A concise decision view for stakeholders before exploring the full demo workspace.

## DECISION SIGNAL

Readiness is 67/100 while evidence confidence is 34/100. Treat this as a planning signal, not migration authorization.

## What was analyzed

- 27 synthetic VMs across 3 hosts and 4 datastores.
- Scenario theme: Evidence too limited.
- Evidence gaps are shown explicitly instead of being guessed.

## Immediate recommendations

- Collect target design
- Add backup and dependency evidence
- Use confidence score to drive next data collection

# Evidence Matrix

Received and missing evidence are separated because confidence changes the recommendation.

## Evidence received

Evidence	Status	Impact
Basic RVTools inventory	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.

## Evidence missing

Evidence	Status	Recommended next evidence
Backup evidence	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Target design	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Network mapping	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Application dependencies	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Business criticality	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Cost assumptions	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.

### DECISION-PACK RULE

Missing evidence remains a finding. It reduces confidence, changes sequencing and can block production waves even when the raw inventory looks promising.

# Top Risks

Risk cards connect each finding to impact and follow-up action.

## Top risk findings

- Analysis should not overclaim
- Missing evidence hides workload risk
- Business continuity impact is unknown

### BUSINESS CONTINUITY RISK

Backup, dependency, rollback and maintenance-window evidence should be validated before critical workloads enter production waves.

### STORAGE DESTINATION READINESS

Storage and target architecture are treated as decision gates. Ceph, ZFS, NFS or PBS assumptions require evidence before blueprint work.

### LICENSING & COST EXPOSURE

The demo illustrates cost exposure framing only. It does not provide a quote, legal advice or guaranteed savings.

# Migration Decision Pack

Migration Recommendation Plan logic stays evidence-bound and wave planning is not a final cutover order.

## BLUEPRINT PLANNING POSTURE

Treat this section as a planning and execution-qualification layer. Pilot scope, rollback logic and owner validation still govern whether broader waves should proceed.

## Recommended waves

Wave	Scope	Evidence gate
<b>Wave 0: Evidence collection</b>	All VMs. Collect more evidence before approving production waves.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
<b>Pilot: Tiny pilot only</b>	2-3 VMs. Only non-critical candidates after rollback is documented.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
<b>Hold: Production</b>	Most VMs. Production remains blocked by low confidence.	Resolve missing evidence before release.

## What this planning layer is doing

- Separating pilot-ready workloads from systems that still require hold, rollback or owner validation.
- Keeping the Migration Recommendation Plan tied to evidence gates rather than generic sequencing.
- Showing where storage, backup and dependency evidence still limit production-wave confidence.

# Senior AI Advisor Notes

Synthetic advisor examples; no live Gemini/OpenAI call was made.

## QUESTION

**Why is confidence lower than readiness?**

### SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

The known inventory does not look impossible, but too much key evidence is missing to make a strong recommendation.

## QUESTION

**What should we collect next?**

### SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Backup proof, Proxmox target design, network mapping, dependency map and business criticality labels.

## QUESTION

**What should the report avoid saying?**

### SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

It should avoid claiming production readiness or zero-downtime feasibility.

# Assumptions, Disclaimers and Next Steps

Boundaries keep the demo useful without unsafe claims.

## What this demo does not claim

- It does not migrate workloads.
- It does not guarantee uninterrupted cutover, production safety or savings.
- It does not replace expert validation, pilot import testing, restore proof or owner sign-off.
- It does not use customer data.

### READ-ONLY DEMO

Uploads, edits, billing, admin and live AI Advisor are disabled in Demo Workspace.

### NEXT STEP

Use a paid assessment to turn low-confidence inventory into evidence-backed decisions.