

Synthetic Demo Report

DEMO WORKSPACE

Enterprise Multi-Site Complexity

VMware -> Proxmox Migration Readiness sample. Generated from synthetic data only.

READINESS SCORE

61/100

Migration posture

EVIDENCE CONFIDENCE

57/100

Evidence strength

SCOPE

236 VMs

12 hosts / 22 datastores

SYNTHETIC SCOPE

A larger multi-site estate with network mapping, dependency gaps and complex wave planning. Primary risk: Multi-site complexity. This report is not based on a real company or real infrastructure.

Executive Summary

A concise decision view for stakeholders before exploring the full demo workspace.

DECISION SIGNAL

Readiness is 61/100 while evidence confidence is 57/100. Treat this as a planning signal, not migration authorization.

What was analyzed

- 236 synthetic VMs across 12 hosts and 22 datastores.
- Scenario theme: Multi-site complexity.
- Evidence gaps are shown explicitly instead of being guessed.

Immediate recommendations

- Plan by site and dependency group
- Run dependency discovery
- Require human review before final wave approval

Evidence Matrix

Received and missing evidence are separated because confidence changes the recommendation.

Evidence received

Evidence	Status	Impact
RVTools inventory	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.
Partial site labels	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.
Storage summary	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.
Initial migration constraints	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.

Evidence missing

Evidence	Status	Recommended next evidence
Complete VLAN mapping	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Application dependency map	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Cross-site failover evidence	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Performance history	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.

DECISION-PACK RULE

Missing evidence remains a finding. It reduces confidence, changes sequencing and can block production waves even when the raw inventory looks promising.

Top Risks

Risk cards connect each finding to impact and follow-up action.

Top risk findings

- Application dependencies are incomplete
- Network mapping varies by site
- Business continuity validation needs human review

BUSINESS CONTINUITY RISK

Backup, dependency, rollback and maintenance-window evidence should be validated before critical workloads enter production waves.

STORAGE DESTINATION READINESS

Storage and target architecture are treated as decision gates. Ceph, ZFS, NFS or PBS assumptions require evidence before blueprint work.

LICENSING & COST EXPOSURE

The demo illustrates cost exposure framing only. It does not provide a quote, legal advice or guaranteed savings.

Migration Decision Pack

Migration Recommendation Plan logic stays evidence-bound and wave planning is not a final cutover order.

BLUEPRINT PLANNING POSTURE

Treat this section as a planning and execution-qualification layer. Pilot scope, rollback logic and owner validation still govern whether broader waves should proceed.

Recommended waves

Wave	Scope	Evidence gate
Wave 0: Site pilot	8 VMs. Pilot per site to validate network and runbook assumptions.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
Wave 1: Site A low-risk	42 VMs. Low-dependency workloads in primary site.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
Wave 2: Site B/C standard	86 VMs. Requires VLAN and dependency confirmation.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
Wave 3: Shared services	44 VMs. Requires cross-site continuity plan.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
Hold: Complex dependencies	56 VMs. Hold until dependency map and failover evidence are complete.	Resolve missing evidence before release.

What this planning layer is doing

- Separating pilot-ready workloads from systems that still require hold, rollback or owner validation.
- Keeping the Migration Recommendation Plan tied to evidence gates rather than generic sequencing.
- Showing where storage, backup and dependency evidence still limit production-wave confidence.

Senior AI Advisor Notes

Synthetic advisor examples; no live Gemini/OpenAI call was made.

QUESTION

Which findings require human review?

SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Cross-site failover, app dependencies, VLAN mapping and business owner sequencing need human review.

QUESTION

How should waves be organized?

SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Group by site, dependency cluster and operational criticality, not just VM size.

QUESTION

What is the biggest hidden risk?

SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Incomplete dependency mapping can cause technically successful VM moves to fail operationally.

Assumptions, Disclaimers and Next Steps

Boundaries keep the demo useful without unsafe claims.

What this demo does not claim

- It does not migrate workloads.
- It does not guarantee uninterrupted cutover, production safety or savings.
- It does not replace expert validation, pilot import testing, restore proof or owner sign-off.
- It does not use customer data.

READ-ONLY DEMO

Uploads, edits, billing, admin and live AI Advisor are disabled in Demo Workspace.

NEXT STEP

Use a paid assessment to validate enterprise evidence and produce a stakeholder-ready migration plan.