

Synthetic Demo Report

DEMO WORKSPACE

Critical SQL / ERP Workloads

VMware -> Proxmox Migration Readiness sample. Generated from synthetic data only.

READINESS SCORE

54/100

Migration posture

EVIDENCE CONFIDENCE

61/100

Evidence strength

SCOPE

68 VMs

6 hosts / 8 datastores

SYNTHETIC SCOPE

A conservative scenario with database, ERP, identity and file services that require human review. Primary risk: Business continuity risk. This report is not based on a real company or real infrastructure.

Executive Summary

A concise decision view for stakeholders before exploring the full demo workspace.

DECISION SIGNAL

Readiness is 54/100 while evidence confidence is 61/100. Treat this as a planning signal, not migration authorization.

What was analyzed

- 68 synthetic VMs across 6 hosts and 8 datastores.
- Scenario theme: Business continuity risk.
- Evidence gaps are shown explicitly instead of being guessed.

Immediate recommendations

- Create Wave 0 pilot
- Hold SQL/ERP until dependency mapping
- Document rollback and owner approval

Evidence Matrix

Received and missing evidence are separated because confidence changes the recommendation.

Evidence received

| Evidence | Status | Impact |
|-----------------------------|----------|---|
| RVTools inventory | Received | Supports initial readiness and wave planning. |
| Critical workload labels | Received | Supports initial readiness and wave planning. |
| Partial maintenance windows | Received | Supports initial readiness and wave planning. |
| Basic backup notes | Received | Supports initial readiness and wave planning. |

Evidence missing

| Evidence | Status | Recommended next evidence |
|---------------------------|---------|--|
| Application dependencies | Missing | Collect before production wave approval. |
| SQL performance baselines | Missing | Collect before production wave approval. |
| Rollback owner approval | Missing | Collect before production wave approval. |

DECISION-PACK RULE

Missing evidence remains a finding. It reduces confidence, changes sequencing and can block production waves even when the raw inventory looks promising.

Top Risks

Risk cards connect each finding to impact and follow-up action.

Top risk findings

- SQL and ERP should not be early-wave candidates
- Identity systems need special handling
- Maintenance windows require business approval

BUSINESS CONTINUITY RISK

Backup, dependency, rollback and maintenance-window evidence should be validated before critical workloads enter production waves.

STORAGE DESTINATION READINESS

Storage and target architecture are treated as decision gates. Ceph, ZFS, NFS or PBS assumptions require evidence before blueprint work.

LICENSING & COST EXPOSURE

The demo illustrates cost exposure framing only. It does not provide a quote, legal advice or guaranteed savings.

Migration Decision Pack

Migration Recommendation Plan logic stays evidence-bound and wave planning is not a final cutover order.

BLUEPRINT PLANNING POSTURE

Treat this section as a planning and execution-qualification layer. Pilot scope, rollback logic and owner validation still govern whether broader waves should proceed.

Recommended waves

| Wave | Scope | Evidence gate |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Wave 0: Pilot only | 5 VMs. Use low-impact candidates to validate process. | Pilot, rollback and owner validation required. |
| Wave 1: Utility workloads | 17 VMs. Move after dependency review. | Pilot, rollback and owner validation required. |
| Wave 3: Critical production | 22 VMs. SQL, ERP, identity and file services require manual validation. | Pilot, rollback and owner validation required. |
| Hold: Business-critical | 9 VMs. Hold until RPO/RTO and rollback are approved. | Resolve missing evidence before release. |

What this planning layer is doing

- Separating pilot-ready workloads from systems that still require hold, rollback or owner validation.
- Keeping the Migration Recommendation Plan tied to evidence gates rather than generic sequencing.
- Showing where storage, backup and dependency evidence still limit production-wave confidence.

Senior AI Advisor Notes

Synthetic advisor examples; no live Gemini/OpenAI call was made.

QUESTION

What should we validate before moving SQL workloads?

SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Validate backup restore, performance baseline, application dependencies, maintenance windows and rollback ownership.

QUESTION

Which workloads should not be in Wave 1?

SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

SQL, ERP, domain controllers and shared file services should be excluded from early waves.

QUESTION

Which findings require human review?

SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Critical workload classification, downtime tolerance, and dependency mapping all require human approval.

Assumptions, Disclaimers and Next Steps

Boundaries keep the demo useful without unsafe claims.

What this demo does not claim

- It does not migrate workloads.
- It does not guarantee uninterrupted cutover, production safety or savings.
- It does not replace expert validation, pilot import testing, restore proof or owner sign-off.
- It does not use customer data.

READ-ONLY DEMO

Uploads, edits, billing, admin and live AI Advisor are disabled in Demo Workspace.

NEXT STEP

Use a paid assessment for critical workload planning and stakeholder-ready reporting.