

# Synthetic Demo Report

DEMO WORKSPACE

## Balanced Mid-Market VMware Exit

VMware -> Proxmox Migration Readiness sample. Generated from synthetic data only.

READINESS SCORE

**76/100**

Migration posture

EVIDENCE CONFIDENCE

**68/100**

Evidence strength

SCOPE

**74 VMs**

5 hosts / 9 datastores

### SYNTHETIC SCOPE

A typical mid-market VMware estate with normal gaps, moderate risk and a practical migration path. Primary risk: Moderate evidence gaps. This report is not based on a real company or real infrastructure.

# Executive Summary

A concise decision view for stakeholders before exploring the full demo workspace.

## DECISION SIGNAL

Readiness is 76/100 while evidence confidence is 68/100. Treat this as a planning signal, not migration authorization.

## What was analyzed

- 74 synthetic VMs across 5 hosts and 9 datastores.
- Scenario theme: Moderate evidence gaps.
- Evidence gaps are shown explicitly instead of being guessed.

## Immediate recommendations

- Start with a non-critical pilot
- Validate backup restore evidence
- Confirm target storage design before production waves

# Evidence Matrix

Received and missing evidence are separated because confidence changes the recommendation.

## Evidence received

Evidence	Status	Impact
RVTools inventory	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.
Basic technical context	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.
Partial Proxmox target sizing	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.
Storage summary	Received	Supports initial readiness and wave planning.

## Evidence missing

Evidence	Status	Recommended next evidence
Application dependency map	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Formal restore validation	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.
Detailed network bridge mapping	Missing	Collect before production wave approval.

### DECISION-PACK RULE

Missing evidence remains a finding. It reduces confidence, changes sequencing and can block production waves even when the raw inventory looks promising.

# Top Risks

Risk cards connect each finding to impact and follow-up action.

## Top risk findings

- A few large disks need wave planning
- Backup proof is incomplete
- Network mapping requires owner review

### BUSINESS CONTINUITY RISK

Backup, dependency, rollback and maintenance-window evidence should be validated before critical workloads enter production waves.

### STORAGE DESTINATION READINESS

Storage and target architecture are treated as decision gates. Ceph, ZFS, NFS or PBS assumptions require evidence before blueprint work.

### LICENSING & COST EXPOSURE

The demo illustrates cost exposure framing only. It does not provide a quote, legal advice or guaranteed savings.

# Migration Decision Pack

Migration Recommendation Plan logic stays evidence-bound and wave planning is not a final cutover order.

## BLUEPRINT PLANNING POSTURE

Treat this section as a planning and execution-qualification layer. Pilot scope, rollback logic and owner validation still govern whether broader waves should proceed.

## Recommended waves

Wave	Scope	Evidence gate
<b>Wave 0: Pilot</b>	6 VMs. Validate import, rollback and operator runbook with low-risk services.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
<b>Wave 1: Standard workloads</b>	28 VMs. Move simple web, utility and test workloads after pilot acceptance.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
<b>Wave 2: Production apps</b>	31 VMs. Requires backup and network evidence to be confirmed.	Pilot, rollback and owner validation required.
<b>Hold: Manual review</b>	9 VMs. Large disks, database-like workloads and incomplete dependency mapping.	Resolve missing evidence before release.

## What this planning layer is doing

- Separating pilot-ready workloads from systems that still require hold, rollback or owner validation.
- Keeping the Migration Recommendation Plan tied to evidence gates rather than generic sequencing.
- Showing where storage, backup and dependency evidence still limit production-wave confidence.

# Senior AI Advisor Notes

Synthetic advisor examples; no live Gemini/OpenAI call was made.

## QUESTION

**Which workloads should not be in the first migration wave?**

## SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Database-like, large-disk and dependency-unknown workloads should wait until backup restore proof and application owner validation are complete.

## QUESTION

**Why is confidence lower than readiness?**

## SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

The inventory is usable, but backup, dependency and final target mapping evidence are incomplete. Readiness can be promising while confidence remains conditional.

## QUESTION

**What evidence should we collect next?**

## SYNTHETIC ADVISOR ANSWER

Collect restore validation, application dependency mapping and final Proxmox storage/network design.

# Assumptions, Disclaimers and Next Steps

Boundaries keep the demo useful without unsafe claims.

## What this demo does not claim

- It does not migrate workloads.
- It does not guarantee uninterrupted cutover, production safety or savings.
- It does not replace expert validation, pilot import testing, restore proof or owner sign-off.
- It does not use customer data.

### READ-ONLY DEMO

Uploads, edits, billing, admin and live AI Advisor are disabled in Demo Workspace.

### NEXT STEP

Start a paid assessment to upload your own RVTools export and generate a private decision pack.